ECE 171A: Linear Control System Theory Lecture 9: Review

Yang Zheng

Assistant Professor, ECE, UCSD

April 15, 2022

Announcements

HW2 due by tonight

Midterm exam (I) — in class, April 22 (Friday in Week 4)

- Scope: Lectures 1 10, HW1 HW3, DI 1-4; (Reading materials in the textbook)
- Closed book, closed notes, closed external links.
- Come on time (1 or 2 minutes early if you can; we will start at 9:00 am promptly)
- No MATLAB is required. No graphing calculators are permitted. A basic arithmetic calculator is allowed.
- The exams must be done in a blue book. Bring a blue book with you.
- No collaboration and discussions are allowed. It is dishonest to cheat on exams. Instances of academic dishonesty will be referred to the Office of Student Conduct for adjudication. You don't want to take a risk for such a small thing.

Outline

Review: Lectures 1 - 8

Outline

Review: Lectures 1 - 8

Lecture 1 - Overview of control systems

A **control system** is an interconnection of two or more dynamical systems that provides a **desired response**.

• Control is to modify the inputs to the plant to produce a **desired output**.



- Feedforward control vs. feedback control
- Two live experiments
- Feedback control = Sensing + Computation + Actuation

Lecture 2 - ODEs and the first control example

Review on ODEs

An nth-order linear ordinary differential equation (ODE) is:

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n}y(t) + a_{n-1}\frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}}y(t) + \ldots + a_1\frac{d}{dt}y(t) + a_0y(t) = u(t)$$

First-order matrix ODE

$$\dot{x} = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

Cruise control

P control $F_{\text{engine}} = K_{\text{p}}e(t)$ I control $F_{\text{engine}} = K_{\text{i}}\int_{0}^{t} e(t)dt$ D control $F_{\text{engine}} = K_{\text{d}}\frac{d}{dt}e(t)$



Feedback control = Sensing + Computation + Actuation

Review: Lectures 1 - 8

Lecture 3 - Feedback principles



We have considered static plant dynamics with analytical solutions

$$y = \operatorname{sat}(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x \leq -1 \\ x & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

- > and a simple dynamical model with numerical simulations
- to illustrate several fundamental properties of feedback
 - Disturbance attenuation
 - Reference signal tracking
 - Robustness to uncertainty
 - Shaping of dynamical behavior

Lecture 4/5 - System modeling

A model is a *mathematical representation* of a physical, biological, or information system.

- Models allow us to reason about a system and make predictions about how a system will behave.
- The model we choose depends on the questions we wish to answer, and so there may be multiple models for a single dynamical system.
- It is important to keep in mind that all models are an approximation of the underlying system.

The choice of state is not unique.

- There may be many choices of variables that can act as the state.
 - A trivial example: One can choose different units (scaling factors)
 - A less trivial example: One can take sums and differences of some variables.

Lecture 4/5 - System modeling

• $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$: state; $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$: output; $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$: input

Continuous-time (e.g., speed control, inverted pendulum, spring-mass)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x, u) \\ y &= h(x, u) \end{aligned} & \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx + Du. \end{aligned}$$

Discrete-time (e.g., consensus protocol, predator-prey dynamics)

$$\begin{aligned} x[k+1] &= f(x[k], u[k]), \\ y[k] &= h(x[k], u[k]). \end{aligned} & \Longleftrightarrow \qquad x[k+1] &= Ax[k] + Bu[k], \\ y[k] &= Cx[k] + Du[k]. \end{aligned}$$

 Block diagrams: Emphasize the information flow and to hide details of the system.



Lecture 6 - System solutions and Phase portraits

Closed-loop system: with u = k(x)

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x, k(x)) := F(x).$$

Analytical or Computational solutions



Solving differential equations

Qualitative analysis: phase portraits and time plot



Lecture 7 - Equilibrium and stability

- An equilibrium point of a dynamical system represents a stationary condition for the dynamics.
- Stable, asymptotically stable, unstable; terminology like sink, source, saddle, center



- Stability of linear systems $\dot{x} = Ax$
 - Eigenvalue test
 - Routh-Hurwitz Criterion (will be reviewed again in week 5/6)

Lecture 8: Jacobian Linearization

Consider a nonlinear system $\dot{x} = F(x)$, with $x_e = 0$ as an equilibrium point. Let

$$A = \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \right|_{x_{\rm e}} =$$

x_e = 0 is locally asymptotically stable if A is asymptotically stable or all eigenvalues of A have negative real parts.

• $x_e = 0$ is unstable if one or more of the eigenvalues of A has positive real part.



Figure: Model Linearization Procedure (Taken from Prof Na Li's ES 155)

Review: Lectures 1 - 8

Outline

Review: Lectures 1 - 8

Consider the following dynamical system

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1 - x_2^2$$

 $\dot{x}_2 = 2x_1 - x_2^2 - x_1x_2.$

- 1. Determine the equilibrium point(s) of this system
- 2. Linearize the system around the equilibrium point(s)
- 3. Are the equilibrium points stable for the linearized system?

Solution:

Let
$$f_1(x_1, x_2) = 0, f_2(x_1, x_2) = 0.$$

 $x_1 - x_2^2 = 0$
 $2x_1 - x_2^2 - x_1 x_2 = 0.$
 $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1^* = 0 \\ x_2^* = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} x_1^* = 1 \\ x_2^* = 1 \end{cases}$

Define the dynamics

$$f_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2^2$$

$$f_2(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 - x_2^2 - x_1 x_2.$$

Compute their partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} = -2x_2,$$
$$\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} = 2 - x_2, \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} = -2x_2 - x_1$$

For the first equilibrium $x_1^* = 0, x_2^* = 0$, there is no need to define new variables $\tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - x_1^* = x_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= 2x_1. \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

For the second equilibrium point $x_1^* = 1, x_2^* = 1$, we define $\tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - 1$, $\tilde{x}_2 = x_2 - 1$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{x}}_1 &= \tilde{x}_1 - 2\tilde{x}_2 \\ \dot{\tilde{x}}_2 &= \tilde{x}_1 - 3\tilde{x}_2. \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\tilde{x}}_1 \\ \dot{\tilde{x}}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

For the first linearized system

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix}\lambda - 1 & 0\\ -2 & \lambda\end{bmatrix}\right) = (\lambda - 1)\lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 0$$

This is unstable since $\lambda_1 = 1$ has positive real part.

For the second linearized system

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix}\lambda - 1 & 2\\ -1 & \lambda + 3\end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \lambda = -1 \pm \sqrt{2}$$

This is unstable since $\lambda_2 = -1 + \sqrt{2}$ has positive real part.



Example B: SpaceX rocket controller design

A rocket of mass m in vertical flight can be modeled by

$$\dot{h} = v$$
$$M\dot{v} = F - \frac{km}{h^2} - cv,$$

- h > 0 is the vertical distance away from the earth,
- v is the vertical velocity,
- ▶ *F* is the rocket engine thrust force (control input),
- $\blacktriangleright \frac{km}{h^2}$ represents the universal gravitation, and cv captures the friction.

Suppose m = 1, k = 1, c = 1; we let $x_1 = h$ and $x_2 = v$, and the output y = h, input u = F.

Question 1 - equilibrium point: Let $F^* = 1$. What is the equilibrium point of this system?

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = -\frac{1}{x_1^2} - x_2 + u \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} u^* = 1, x_1^* = 1, x_2^* = 0, \\ y^* = x_1^* = 1 \end{cases}$$

Example: SpaceX rocket controller design

Question 2 - Linearization: Linearize the system around the equilibrium point.

Step 1: Write down the (possibly nonlinear) dynamics (step 0: obtain the equilibrium)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1, x_2, u) = x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2, u) = -\frac{1}{x_1^2} - x_2 + u \end{cases}$$

Step 2: compute their partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u} = 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} = \frac{2}{x_1^3}, \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} = -1, \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial u} = 1,$$

Step 3: define new variables $\tilde{x} = x - x^*$, $\tilde{u} = u - u^*$, and $\tilde{y} = y - y^*$.

Step 4: Finalize the linearized model

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\tilde{x}}_1 \\ \dot{\tilde{x}}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}, \qquad \tilde{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example: SpaceX rocket controller design

Question 3 - controller design: SpaceX hover (and landing) $test^1$ - design a controller to stabilize the rocket at the equilibrium point.

$$\tilde{u} = K_1 \tilde{x}_1 + K_2 \tilde{x}_2.$$

If $K_1 = -2.25, K_2 = 0.5$, is the linearized system stable?

Step 1: write down the closed-loop system

$$\tilde{u} = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\tilde{x}}_1 \\ \dot{\tilde{x}}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & K_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 + K_1 & -1 + K_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $^1 \rm Videos: https://youtu.be/07Pm8ZY0XJI; https://youtu.be/1sJlFzUQVmY Two Exercises$

Example: SpaceX rocket controller design

Question 3 - controller design: SpaceX hover (and landing) $test^2$ - design a controller to stabilize the rocket at the equilibrium point.

$$\tilde{u} = K_1 \tilde{x}_1 + K_2 \tilde{x}_2.$$

If $K_1 = -2.3125, K_2 = 0.5$, is the linearized system stable?

Step 1: write down the closed-loop system

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 2+K_1 & -1+K_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1\\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -0.3125 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1\\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2: analyze the eigenvalues of the closed-loop system

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix}\lambda & -1\\0.3125 & \lambda + 0.5\end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda^2 + 0.5\lambda + 0.3125$$
$$= (\lambda + 0.25)^2 + 0.25$$

its eigenvalues are $\lambda=-0.25\pm0.5i,$ which is stable.

²Videos: https://youtu.be/07Pm8ZY0XJI; https://youtu.be/1sJlFzUQVmY Two Exercises