ECE 171A: Linear Control System Theory Lecture 22: Performance specifications

Yang Zheng

Assistant Professor, ECE, UCSD

May 24, 2024

Reading materials: Ch 12.1, 12.2

Sensitivity Functions

Performance specifications

Summary

Sensitivity Functions

Performance specifications

Summary

Feedback control systems



Figure: Block diagram of a control system with two degrees of freedom (DOF).

- The 2 DOF controller:
 - a feedback block C(s)
 - a feedforward block F(s).
- The external signals
 - the reference signal r,
 - the load disturbance v,
 - the measurement noise w.
- The measured output is y, and the control signal is u.

Loop shaping: design the behavior of the *closed-loop system* by focusing on the *open-loop transfer function*.

Example — the Nyquist criterion: we used the Nyquist plot for the open loop transfer function to determine the stability of the closed-loop system

Closed-loop transfer functions



Figure: Block diagram of a control system with two degrees of freedom.

- The feedback control system is composed of linear elements;
- ▶ The relations between the signals can be expressed via transfer functions.

The overall system has three external inputs: the **reference** r, the **load disturbance** v, and the **measurement noise** w.

- Any of the remaining signals can be relevant for design, but the most common ones are
 - the error e, the input u, and the output y.

Closed-loop transfer functions

Table 12.1: Transfer functions relating the signals of the control system in Figure 12.1. The external inputs are the reference signal r, load disturbance v, and measurement noise w, represented by each row. The columns represent the measured signal y, control input u, error e, process input μ , and process output η that are most relevant for system performance.

y	u	e	μ	η	
PCF	CF	F	CF	PCF	r
1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	ľ
P	-PC	-P		P	v
1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	
1	$\frac{-C}{1-C}$	-1	$\frac{-C}{1-C}$	$\frac{-PC}{1-PC}$	w
1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	1 + PC	

For most control designs, we focus on the following subset — the Gang of Six

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\rm yr} &= \frac{PCF}{1+PC}, \quad -G_{\rm uv} = \frac{PC}{1+PC}, \quad G_{\rm yv} = \frac{P}{1+PC}\\ G_{\rm ur} &= \frac{CF}{1+PC}, \quad -G_{\rm uw} = \frac{C}{1+PC}, \quad G_{\rm yw} = \frac{1}{1+PC} \end{aligned}$$

Sensitivity functions: Gang of four

The response of the system to load disturbances v and measurement noise w is of particular importance — referred to as **sensitivity functions**.

Sensitivity function

$$S = \frac{1}{1 + PC} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad G_{\rm yw}$$

Complementary sensitivity function

$$T = \frac{PC}{1 + PC} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad -G_{\rm uv}$$

Load sensitivity function

$$PS = \frac{P}{1 + PC} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad G_{yv}$$

Noise sensitivity function

$$CS = \frac{C}{1 + PC} \longrightarrow -G_{uw}$$

Important in feedback control design — called the **Gang of Four**

 The remaining two in the Gang of Six are

$$G_{\rm yr} = \frac{PCF}{1+PC},$$
$$G_{\rm ur} = \frac{CF}{1+PC}$$

- Feedback controller $C(s) \rightarrow$ provide good response w.r.t. w and v;
- ▶ feedforward controller F(s) → obtain good reference tracking w.r.t. r.

Sensitivity functions: Gang of four



Many interesting properties (assuming stability for the reference tracking)

It directly follows that

$$S(s) + T(s) = 1, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C}$$

- ▶ The loop transfer function PC will typically go to zero for large s, which implies that $T(s) \rightarrow 0$ and $S(s) \rightarrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.
- It will not be possible to track very high-frequency reference signals $|G_{\rm yr}| = |FT| \to 0$
- For controllers with integral action, PC will typically go to infinity for small s — low frequency references are tracked well.

Sensitivity Functions

Performance specifications

Summary

Performance specifications

A key element in controller design is how we specify the desired performance.

Specifications capture robustness to process variations and performance w.r.t.

- the ability to track reference signals and attenuate load disturbances without injecting too much measurement noise.
- Expressed in terms of transfer functions (the Gang of Six) and the loop transfer function, using features of their time and frequency responses.

Robustness to process variations

• Loop transfer function: Gain/phase margin g_m, φ_m , and stability margin s_m .



Performance specifications

Specifications capture robustness to process variations and performance w.r.t.

- the ability to track reference signals and attenuate load disturbances without injecting too much measurement noise.
- Expressed in terms of transfer functions (the Gang of Six) and the loop transfer function, using features of their time and frequency responses.

Features of step responses

Overshoot, rise time, and settling time



Time-domain specifications

Table 7.1: Properties of the step response for a second-order system $\ddot{q} + 2\zeta\omega_0\dot{q} + \omega_0^2 q = k\omega_0^2 u$ with $0 < \zeta \leq 1$.

Property	Value	$\zeta = 0.5$	$\zeta = 1/\sqrt{2}$	$\zeta = 1$
Steady-state value	k	k	k	$_{k}$
Rise time (inverse slope)	$T_{\rm r} = e^{\varphi/\tan\varphi} / \omega_0$	$1.8/\omega_0$	$2.2/\omega_0$	$2.7/\omega_0$
Overshoot	$M_{\rm p} = e^{-\pi\zeta/\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}$	16%	4%	0%
Settling time (2%)	$T_{\rm s}\approx 4/\zeta\omega_0$	$8.0/\omega_0$	$5.6/\omega_0$	$4.0/\omega_0$



Frequency-domain specifications

Table 7.2: Properties of the frequency response for a second-order system $\ddot{q} + 2\zeta\omega_0\dot{q} + \omega_0^2q = k\omega_0^2u$ with $0 < \zeta \leq 1$.

Property	Value	$\zeta\!=\!0.1$	$\zeta{=}0.5$	$\zeta = 1/\sqrt{2}$
Zero frequency gain	M_0	$_{k}$	k	$_{k}$
Bandwidth	$\omega_{\rm b} = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta^2 + \sqrt{(1 - 2\zeta^2)^2 + 1}}$	$1.54\omega_0$	$1.27\omega_0$	ω_0
Resonant peak gain	$M_{\rm r} = \begin{cases} k/(2\zeta\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}) & \zeta \leq \sqrt{2}/2, \\ {\rm N/A} & \zeta > \sqrt{2}/2 \end{cases}$	5 k	1.15 k	k
Resonant frequency	$\omega_{\rm mr} = \begin{cases} \omega_0 \sqrt{1-2\zeta^2} & \zeta \leq \sqrt{2}/2, \\ 0 & \zeta > \sqrt{2}/2 \end{cases}$	ω_0	$0.707\omega_0$	0



Response to Reference Signals

The responses of the output y and the control signal u to the reference r are

$$G_{\rm yr} = \frac{PCF}{1+PC}, \qquad G_{\rm ur} = \frac{CF}{1+PC}.$$

Peak (or resonant) value M_r , the peak frequency ω_{mr} the bandwidth ω_r .



Figure: Gain curve of the transfer function G_{yr}

- We can choose F = 1
- ▶ But in many cases it is useful to retain the ability to shape the input/output response by using $F(s) \neq 1$ the full Gang of Six

Example

Example

Consider a process with the transfer function and PI controller



Response to Load Disturbances and Measurement Noise

Sensitivity function

$$S = \frac{1}{1 + PC} \quad \to \quad G_{yy}$$

Load sensitivity function

$$PS = \frac{P}{1 + PC} \quad \rightarrow \quad G_{\rm yv}$$

- The sensitivity function S directly shows how feedback C(s) influences the response of the output to disturbances w
- Disturbances with frequencies such that $|S(i\omega)| < 1$ are **attenuated**; such that $|S(i\omega)| > 1$ are **amplified** by feedback
- Sensitivity crossover frequency ω_{sc} is the lowest frequency such that |S(iω)| = 1.



Response to Load Disturbances and Measurement Noise

Load sensitivity function $PS = \frac{P}{1+PC} \rightarrow G_{yv}$

- Load disturbances typically have low frequencies.
- For strictly proper plant, P(s) is small for low frequencies.
- For processes with $P(0) \neq 0$ and controllers with integral action, we have

$$C(s) \approx \frac{k_{\rm i}}{s} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad G_{\rm yv} \approx \frac{1}{C} = \frac{s}{k_{\rm i}}$$

for small s (low frequencies)

A controller with integral action thus attenuates disturbances with low frequencies effectively, and the gain k_i is a measure of disturbance attenuation.

Similar analysis for other transfer functions, e.g., high-frequency roll-off

$$-G_{\rm uw} = \frac{C}{1 + PC} \approx C \qquad \text{for large } s.$$

It is useful to filter the derivative so that C(s) go to zero for large s. Performance specifications

Example

Example

Consider a process with the transfer function and PID controller



Sensitivity Functions

Performance specifications

Summary

Summary

Summary

Sensitivity functions: for most control designs we focus on the following subset — the Gang of Six

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\rm yr} &= \frac{PCF}{1+PC}, \quad -G_{\rm uv} = \frac{PC}{1+PC}, \quad G_{\rm yv} = \frac{P}{1+PC}\\ G_{\rm ur} &= \frac{CF}{1+PC}, \quad -G_{\rm uw} = \frac{C}{1+PC}, \quad G_{\rm yw} = \frac{1}{1+PC} \end{aligned}$$

- Specifications capture robustness to process variations and performance w.r.t.
 - the ability to 1) **track** reference signals and 2) **attenuate** load disturbances **without injecting** too much measurement noise.
- Expressed in terms of transfer functions (e.g., the Gang of Six) and the loop transfer function, using features of their time and frequency responses.